Supplementary Material for "Can GW Handle Multireference Systems?"

Abdallah Ammar,^{1, a)} Antoine Marie,^{1, b)} Mauricio Rodríguez-Mayorga,^{2, c)} Hugh G. A. Burton,^{3, d)} and Pierre-François Loos^{1, e)}

¹⁾Laboratoire de Chimie et Physique Quantiques (UMR 5626), Université de Toulouse, CNRS, UPS, France

²⁾ Université Grenoble Alpes, CNRS, Institut NEEL, F-38042 Grenoble, France

³⁾ Yusuf Hamied Department of Chemistry, University of Cambridge, Lensfield Road, Cambridge, CB2 1EW, U.K.

I. $BE + H_2$ REACTION

• PYSCF format of the Be(3s2p)/H(2s) basis set:

BASIS "ao basis" SPHERICAL PRINT								
#BAS	SIS SET	[: (4s) ->	[2s]					
Н	S							
		19.24060E+0	00	0.032828E+00				
		2.899200E+0	00	0.231208E+00				
		0.653400E+0	00	0.817238E+00				
Н	S							
		0.177600E+0	00	1.000000E+00				
#BAS	SIS SET	[: (10s,3p)	-> [3s,2p]					
Be	S	· -	· -					
		1267.070000)E+00	0.001940E+00				
		190.356000)E+00	0.014786E+00				
		43.295900)E+00	0.071795E+00				
		12.144200)E+00	0.236348E+00				
		3.809230)E+00	0.471763E+00				
		1.268470)E+00	0.355183E+00				
Be	S							
		5.693880)E+00 -	-0.028876E+00				
		1.555630)E+00 -	-0.177565E+00				
		0.171855	5E+00	1.071630E+00				
Be	S							
		0.057181	LE+00	1.000000E+00				
Be	Р							
		5.693880)E+00	1.000000E+00				
Be	Р							
		1.555630)E+00	0.144045E+00				
		0.171855	5E+00	0.949692E+00				
END								

 $^{^{\}rm a)} {\it Electronic}$ mail:
 ${\it aammar@irsamc.ups-tlse.fr}$

^{b)}Electronic mail: amarie@irsamc.ups-tlse.fr

^{c)}Electronic mail: marm3.14@gmail.com

^{d)}Electronic mail: hgaburton@gmail.com

^{e)}Electronic mail: loos@irsamc.ups-tlse.fr

		FCI energies				
x	HF energy	Root $\#1$	Root $\#2$	Root #3	Root $\#4$	
0.00	-15.263651	-15.382085	-15.325298	-15.085238	-15.085238	
0.25	-15.252976	-15.371673	-15.313744	-15.114749	-15.070233	
0.50	-15.237634	-15.361208	-15.298337	-15.106722	-15.060838	
0.75	-15.291210	-15.353711	-15.281222	-15.106733	-15.059047	
1.00	-15.291011	-15.351717	-15.264931	-15.117632	-15.065947	
1.25	-15.297507	-15.355837	-15.250765	-15.140054	-15.080525	
1.50	-15.308517	-15.364227	-15.238656	-15.171047	-15.099709	
1.75	-15.319927	-15.373332	-15.232856	-15.200120	-15.119700	
2.00	-15.327584	-15.379481	-15.257115	-15.197410	-15.179439	
2.25	-15.328808	-15.380229	-15.292627	-15.207700	-15.177748	
2.50	-15.322599	-15.374630	-15.326788	-15.232365	-15.164957	
2.75	-15.290361	-15.363071	-15.357741	-15.253019	-15.176695	
3.00	-15.323047	-15.385155	-15.347455	-15.269897	-15.185796	
3.25	-15.351455	-15.409104	-15.331626	-15.283449	-15.185619	
3.50	-15.375619	-15.429384	-15.320125	-15.293772	-15.216857	
3.75	-15.394136	-15.444428	-15.313115	-15.299627	-15.242929	
4.00	-15.402460	-15.449608	-15.303612	-15.296734	-15.256302	

TABLE I. Hartree-Fock (HF) ground-state energy and the first four roots of the FCI matrix (in $E_{\rm h}$) for the cationic state of BeH₂ as functions of x (in a_0).

TABLE II. Hartree-Fock (HF) ground-state energy and the first four roots of the FCI matrix (in $E_{\rm h}$) for the neutral state of BeH₂ as functions of x (in a_0).

			FCI ene		
x	HF energy	Root $\#1$	Root $\#2$	Root $\#3$	Root $#4$
0.00	-15.741722	-15.799589	-15.544641	-15.544641	-15.428489
0.25	-15.737372	-15.794413	-15.537486	-15.534788	-15.425578
0.50	-15.727543	-15.784419	-15.535823	-15.525383	-15.427798
0.75	-15.714324	-15.771594	-15.540840	-15.519058	-15.431159
1.00	-15.699912	-15.757968	-15.552790	-15.517841	-15.435575
1.25	-15.685357	-15.744499	-15.570455	-15.522037	-15.440696
1.50	-15.670004	-15.730657	-15.591041	-15.529868	-15.445519
1.75	-15.651879	-15.714843	-15.610952	-15.538174	-15.450125
2.00	-15.628721	-15.695276	-15.626955	-15.543742	-15.464346
2.25	-15.599090	-15.671020	-15.636897	-15.544303	-15.502656
2.50	-15.562872	-15.643185	-15.639669	-15.545961	-15.538651
2.75	-15.488827	-15.635005	-15.623459	-15.575084	-15.419795
3.00	-15.536692	-15.645844	-15.623322	-15.555947	-15.441077
3.25	-15.580201	-15.680902	-15.605617	-15.519404	-15.460020
3.50	-15.618799	-15.714004	-15.583496	-15.482298	-15.476641
3.75	-15.650408	-15.741386	-15.559087	-15.489482	-15.463372
4.00	-15.669900	-15.757444	-15.533429	-15.493812	-15.474227

-	(0)						
		FCI energies					
x	HF energy	Root $\#1$	Root $\#2$	Root $\#3$	Root $\#4$		
0.00	-15.603945	-15.665746	-15.665745	-15.637509	-15.488977		
0.25	-15.609962	-15.669333	-15.658440	-15.618335	-15.466462		
0.50	-15.618880	-15.676885	-15.647558	-15.588419	-15.434329		
0.75	-15.626063	-15.683328	-15.634960	-15.557903	-15.427763		
1.00	-15.631893	-15.688793	-15.622295	-15.530885	-15.459442		
1.25	-15.636212	-15.693052	-15.610177	-15.508412	-15.494663		
1.50	-15.637743	-15.694952	-15.597893	-15.530887	-15.488922		
1.75	-15.634550	-15.692845	-15.583787	-15.565031	-15.507572		
2.00	-15.624821	-15.685217	-15.594389	-15.566038	-15.525504		
2.25	-15.607503	-15.671167	-15.617303	-15.543518	-15.538060		
2.50	-15.582541	-15.650743	-15.633228	-15.544405	-15.516656		
2.75	-15.550948	-15.642510	-15.625031	-15.544398	-15.493360		
3.00	-15.569582	-15.646204	-15.596108	-15.538431	-15.510903		
3.25	-15.568183	-15.645988	-15.566798	-15.543336	-15.527228		
3.50	-15.564771	-15.644185	-15.574534	-15.542739	-15.511569		
3.75	-15.563476	-15.642923	-15.600813	-15.561380	-15.514386		
4.00	-15.564207	-15.640040	-15.616411	-15.587029	-15.508473		

TABLE III. Hartree-Fock (HF) ground-state energy and the first four roots of the FCI matrix (in $E_{\rm h}$) for the anionic state of BeH₂ as functions of x (in a_0).

II. MULTIREFERENCE SYSTEMS

			FCI energies			CCSD(T) energie	s
System	HF energy	Cation	Neutral	Anion	Cation	Neutral	Anion
B_2	-49.0422	-48.9484	-49.2781	-49.3465	-48.9461	-49.2699	-49.3430
LiF	-106.9856	-106.8850	-107.3012	-107.3021	-106.9039	-107.3201	-107.3209
BeO	-89.4427	-89.3772	-89.7435	-89.8159	-89.3767	-89.7421	-89.8146
BN	-78.9085	-78.8315	-79.2698	-79.3797	-78.8293	-79.2697	-79.3754
C_2	-75.4036	-75.3291	-75.7866	-75.8968	-75.3274	-75.7845	-75.8945
O ₃	-224.3521	-224.6858	-225.1480	-225.1951	-224.6750	-225.1419	-225.1926

TABLE IV. FCI and CCSD(T) ground-state energies (in $E_{\rm h}$) for the cationic, neutral, and anionic states of the multireference systems considered in this study. The Hartree-Fock ground-state energy (solution #1) of the neutral state is also reported.

TABLE V. Principal IP, principal EA, and fundamental gap (in eV) for a selection of multireference systems computed at the G_0W_0 level with different starting points (HF, BLYP, B3LYP, CAM-B3LYP) with the def2-TZVPP basis. The error with respect to the reference FCI value is reported in parentheses. See the main manuscript for more details.

			$G_0 W_0$				
Mol.		HF(#1)	BLYP	B3LYP	CAM-B3LYP	FCI	
B_2	IP	9.06(+0.09)	8.61(-0.36)	8.58(-0.39)	8.80(-0.17)	8.97	
	\mathbf{EA}	2.05(+0.19)	2.22(+0.36)	2.36(+0.50)	2.13(+0.27)	1.86	
	Gap	7.01(-0.11)	6.39(-0.72)	6.23(-0.88)	6.66(-0.45)	7.11	
LiF	IP	11.31(-0.01)	9.65(-1.67)	10.45(-0.87)	11.11(-0.22)	11.32	
	\mathbf{EA}	0.01(-0.01)	0.25(+0.23)	0.18(+0.16)	0.07(+0.05)	0.02	
	Gap	11.29(-0.01)	9.90(-1.40)	10.62(-0.68)	11.18(-0.12)	11.30	
BeO	IP	9.76(-0.21)	9.46(-0.51)	9.38(-0.59)	9.84(-0.13)	9.97	
	\mathbf{EA}	2.09(+0.12)	1.66(-0.31)	2.05(+0.08)	1.97(+0.00)	1.97	
	Gap	7.67(-0.32)	7.81(+0.19)	7.34(-0.56)	7.88(-0.12)	8.00	
BN	IP	11.69(-0.24)	10.79(-1.14)	11.29(-0.64)	11.57(-0.36)	11.93	
	\mathbf{EA}	3.83(+0.84)	3.82(+0.82)	3.63(+0.64)	3.53(+0.54)	2.99	
	Gap	7.86(-1.08)	6.97(-1.97)	7.66(-1.28)	8.03(-0.91)	8.94	
C_2	IP	12.92(+0.48)		12.22(-0.23)	12.47(+0.02)	12.45	
	\mathbf{EA}	4.08(+1.08)		3.96(+0.96)	3.88(+0.88)	3.00	
	Gap	8.85(-0.60)		8.26(-1.19)	8.59(-0.86)	9.45	
O_3	IP	13.50(+0.92)	11.79(-0.79)	12.26(-0.32)	12.80(+0.22)	12.58	
	\mathbf{EA}	1.96(+0.68)	1.96(+0.68)	1.96(+0.68)	1.98(+0.70)	1.28	
	Gap	11.54(+0.25)	9.82(-1.47)	10.30(-0.99)	10.82(-0.47)	11.29	

The G_0W_0 results computed with Kohn-Sham (KS) starting points for the multireference molecules studied in the main manuscript are reported in Table V. First of all, the stability analysis reveals that all density-functional approximations employed here lead to one stable restricted solution only. The performance of $G_0W_0@KS$ shows that only $G_0W_0@CAM$ -B3LYP produces competitive IPs, EAs, and gaps when compared to the $G_0W_0@HF(\#1)$ results. Notably, substantial errors of approximately 0.9 eV in gaps can still arise. It is noteworthy to mention that, for C₂, the BLYP KS determinant exhibits a non-Aufbau filling, rendering it impossible to compute the G_0W_0 correction. One way to eschew this difficulty is to rely on the Tamm–Dancoff approximation for the computation of the polarizability but we have not pursued this possibility here.

III. TRIANGULAR-SHAPED H₆ CLUSTER

 \bullet Geometry of the ${\rm H}_6$ cluster with triangular arrangement (in Å):

Η	0.00000000000	0.0000000000	0.00000000000
Η	1.0000000000	1.73205080757	0.00000000000
Η	2.0000000000	0.00000000000	0.00000000000
Η	3.00000000000	1.73205080757	0.00000000000
Η	4.00000000000	0.00000000000	0.00000000000
Η	2.0000000000	3.46410161514	0.00000000000

IV. DISSOCIATION CURVE OF HF

-	-						
$R_{\rm H-F}$	FCI	RHF	UHF	G_0W_0 @RHF	G_0W_0 @UHF	qsGW@RHF	qsGW@UHF
0.50	17.29	18.62	18.62	17.26	17.26	17.39	17.39
0.55	17.06	18.40	18.40	17.05	17.05	17.17	17.17
0.60	16.84	18.20	18.20	16.84	16.84	16.95	16.95
0.65	16.61	18.00	18.00	16.63	16.63	16.73	16.73
0.70	16.39	17.81	17.81	16.41	16.41	16.52	16.52
0.75	16.16	17.63	17.63	16.20	16.20	16.30	16.30
0.80	15.94	17.46	17.46	16.00	16.00	16.09	16.10
0.85	15.72	17.31	17.31	15.79	15.79	15.90	15.90
0.90	15.51	17.16	17.16	15.60	15.60	15.70	15.70
0.95	15.31	17.03	17.03	15.41	15.41	15.52	15.52
1.00	15.11	16.90	16.90	15.24	15.24	15.35	15.35
1.05	14.92	16.79	16.79	15.06	15.06	15.19	15.19
1.10	14.74	16.69	16.69	14.90	14.90	15.04	15.04
1.15	14.57	16.60	16.60	14.75	14.75	14.91	14.91
1.20	14.40	16.52	16.52	14.60	14.60	14.78	14.78
1.25	14.25	16.45	16.45	14.46	14.46	14.66	14.66
1.30	14.11	16.38	16.24	14.32	14.31	14.54	14.54
1.35	13.98	16.32	15.34	14.20	14.54	14.44	14.44
1.40	13.86	16.02	14.95	14.07	14.81	14.35	14.35
1.45	13.75	15.69	14.71	13.96	15.06	14.26	14.26
1.50	13.65	15.38	14.53	13.84	14.83	14.17	14.17
1.55	13.57	15.08	14.40	13.74	14.59	14.10	14.08
1.60	13.50	14.80	14.30	13.63	14.39	14.02	14.12
1.65	13.43	14.53	14.22	13.53	14.22	13.95	14.31
1.70	13.38	14.28	14.15	13.44	14.09	13.89	14.38
1.75	13.34	14.04	14.09	13.34	13.98	13.82	14.21
1.80	13.31	13.82	14.04	13.25	13.90	13.77	14.05
1.85	13.29	13.61	14.00	13.16	13.83	13.71	13.91
1.90	13.27	13.41	13.96	13.08	13.77	13.65	13.80
1.95	13.26	13.21	13.93	12.99	13.72	13.60	13.71
2.00	13.26	13.03	13.90	12.91	13.69	13.55	13.65
2.05	13.26	12.86	13.88	12.83	13.66	13.50	13.60
2.10	13.27	12.70	13.86	12.76	13.63	13.46	13.57
2.15	13.28	12.54	13.84	12.68	13.61	13.38	13.55
2.20	13.30	12.39	13.82	12.61	13.60	13.27	13.53
2.25	13.31	12.25	13.80	12.54	13.58	13.17	13.52
2.30	13.33	12.11	13.79	12.48	13.57	13.07	13.51
2.35	13.34	11.98	13.78	12.41	13.50	12.98	13.51
2.40	13.30	11.80	13.77	12.30	13.33	12.89	13.30
2.45	13.38	11.74	13.75	12.20	13.34	12.80	13.30
2.00	15.59	11.02 11.51	13.73	12.11	10.04	12.72	15.00
2.00	13.40	11.01 11.41	10.74	12.01	10.00	12.04 19.57	13.49
2.00 2.65	13.42	11.41	13.75	11.95	13.55	12.57	13.49
$2.00 \\ 2.70$	13.43	11.01	13.72 13.71	11.05	13.52	12.50	13.49
2.70 2.75	13.44	11.21 11.19	13.71 13.71	11.77	13.52 13.51	12.40 12.27	13.49
2.10	13.40 13.47	11.12 11.03	13.71 13.70	11.09	13.51	12.57	13.49
2.80	13.47	10.05	13.70 13.70	11.02	13.51	12.51	13.40
2.00	13.40	10.95	13.60	11.00	13.50	12.20 19.10	13.40
2.30 2.05	13.40	10.30	13.68	11.43	13.50	12.13 19.14	13.40
$\frac{2.50}{3.00}$	13.49 13.50	10.75 10.71	13.68	11.45	13.49	12.14	13.48 13.48
3.05	13 51	10.71 10.64	13.68	11.31	13 49	12.03 12.04	13.47
3.10	13 51	10.01 10.57	13.00	11.01	13 49	12.00	13 47
3.15	13 52	10.50	13.67	11.20	13 49	11.95	13 47
3.20	13.52	10.44	13.66	11.16	13.48	11.91	13.47
3.25	13 53	10.38	13.66	11 12	13 48	11.87	13 47
3.30	13.53	10.32	13.66	11.07	13.48	11.83	13.46
3.35	13.53	10.26	13.65	11.03	13.48	11.80	13.46
3.40	13.54	10.21	13.65	10.99	13.47	11.76	13.46
3.45	13.54	10.15	13.65	10.96	13.47	11.73	13.46
3.50	13.54	10.10	13.65	10.92	13.47	11.70	13.46

TABLE VI. IPs (in eV) of the HF molecule as a function of the internuclear distance R_{H-F} (in Å) computed at various levels of theory with the cc-pVDZ basis set.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This project has received financial support from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (Grant agreement No. 863481) for funding.